

C. Taylor Ashworth, AZ Bar No. 10143
Christopher Graver, AZ Bar No. 013235
Josh Kahn, AZ Bar No. 26284
STINSON MORRISON HECKER LLP
1850 N. Central Avenue, Suite 2100
Phoenix, Arizona 85004-4584
Tel: (602) 279-1600
Fax: (602) 240-6925
cgraver@stinson.com

Alexander Terras
REED SMITH LLP
10 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 4000
Chicago, Illinois 60606
Tel: (312) 207-3870
Fax: (312) 207-6400
aterras@reedsmith.com

Proposed Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

In re

ARETE HOLDINGS, LLC,

Debtor.

Chapter 11

Chapter 11

Case No. 2:11-bk-02009-RTB
(Joint Administration Pending)

Case No. 2:11-bk-02010;
Case No. 2:11-bk-02011;
Case No. 2:11-bk-02012; and
Case No. 2:11-bk-02020

This filing applies to: ☒ All Debtors
☐ Arete Holdings, LLC
☐ Arete NW, LLC
☐ Arete Sleep Therapy NW, LLC
☐ Arete Sleep, LLC
☐ Arete Sleep Therapy, LLC

**DECLARATION OF DANIEL DEMPSEY IN
SUPPORT OF DEBTORS' CHAPTER 11
PETITIONS AND FIRST DAY PLEADINGS**

Hearing Date: None
Hearing Time:
Location: Courtroom #703
230 N First Ave
Phoenix AZ 85003

I, Daniel Dempsey, first being duly sworn, state as follows:

1. I am the Chief Restructuring Officer of Areté Holdings, LLC, Areté NW, LLC, Areté Sleep, LLC, Areté Sleep Therapy, LLC, and Areté Sleep Therapy NW, LLC (collectively, the

1 "Debtors"), each of which is a limited liability company organized under the laws of the State of
2 Delaware.

3 2. I am authorized to submit this declaration (the "Declaration") on behalf of the Debtors.
4 As a result of my tenure with the Debtors, my review of the relevant documents, and my discussions
5 with other members of the Debtors' management team, I am familiar with the Debtors' day-to-day
6 operations, business affairs, and books and records. Except as otherwise noted, I have personal
7 knowledge of the matters set forth herein and, if called as a witness, could testify competently thereto.

8 3. To enable the Debtors to minimize the adverse effects of these Chapter 11 Cases (as
9 defined below) on their business, the Debtors intend to request various types of relief in the "first day"
10 applications and motions (collectively, the "First Day Motions"). I submit this Declaration in support
11 of the Debtors' First Day Motions.¹

12 4. Except as otherwise stated, all facts set forth in this Declaration are based on my
13 personal knowledge, my discussions with other members of the Debtors' senior management,
14 managers and members, my review of relevant documents, or my opinion, based on my experience and
15 knowledge of the Debtors' operations and financial conditions.

16 5. Part I of this Declaration describes the Debtors' businesses and the circumstances
17 surrounding the commencement of the above-captioned chapter 11 cases (collectively, the "Chapter 11
18 Cases"). Part II of this Declaration sets forth the relevant facts in support of the First Day Motions
19 filed concurrently herewith.

20 **I. BACKGROUND**

21 6. Since 2002, the Debtors have been a leading provider of integrated, high quality sleep
22 medicine and total patient care services. Headquartered at 6263 N. Scottsdale Road, Suite 395,
23 Scottsdale, Arizona, the Debtors operate nineteen sleep diagnostic clinics across Arizona, Oregon,
24 Texas and Washington which generate annual gross revenues of approximately \$18,000,000. Of these
25 revenues, approximately: (i) 15% is attributable to federal Medicare and Medicaid reimbursements
26 administered by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services ("CMS"); (ii) 25% is attributable to

27 ¹ Unless otherwise defined herein, capitalized terms used herein shall have the same meanings ascribed to them in the
28 relevant First Day Motion.

1 state-managed Medicaid reimbursements, and (iii) 60% is attributable to reimbursements from private
2 insurance companies and payments received directly from patients.

3 7. As of the Petition Date, the Debtors employed approximately 140 medical and non-
4 medical professionals, and engaged the services of approximately 32 independent contractors,
5 including eight medical directors and twenty-five reading physicians.

6 8. The Debtors specialize within the sleep disorder subset of the health care industry, and
7 focus their business primarily on the provision of diagnostic procedures aimed at detecting sleep
8 breathing disorders, the most common of which is obstructive sleep apnea. In addition to these
9 diagnostic capabilities, the Debtors also offer therapy and treatment services for patients' sleep
10 breathing disorders once diagnosed, including the provision of durable medical equipment such as
11 continuous positive airway pressure devices ("CPAPs").

12 9. In 2002, True North Partners, LLC ("True North") furnished the equity necessary to
13 acquire the assets used to commence the Debtors' business operations.² The Debtors were focused on
14 an aggressive growth strategy with the goal of achieving rapid expansion and becoming a leading
15 company providing sleep services.

16 10. The Debtors made large commitments to building infrastructure and growing the
17 business through organic growth and acquisitions. In 2007, after five years of losses and more limited
18 growth opportunities the strategy changed to: (i) eliminate the losses and needs for additional
19 investment; (ii) transition True North's involvement in the Debtors to one of a minority participant; or
20 (iii) dispose of the Debtors' business operations in connection with a sale.

21 11. Reacting to this revised business plan, the Debtors instituted certain cost-saving
22 mechanisms that resulted in positive cash flow by the end of 2009. Subsequently, and for the reasons
23 set forth in 21-27 below, these improved operational results once again became negative over time.

24 12. On March 16, 2009, the Board of Directors (the "Board") for Areté Holdings, LLC
25 ("Holdings") held a meeting to discuss the prospect of selling the Debtors' businesses and/or soliciting

26 ² True North continues to be the ultimate parent of each of the Debtors, and is the owner of not less than 99% of all equity
27 interests in Areté Holdings, LLC, which in turn is the owner of not less than 99% of all equity interests in Areté Sleep NW,
28 LLC, Areté Sleep, LLC, and Areté Sleep Therapy, LLC. Areté Sleep Therapy NW, LLC is a wholly-owned subsidiary of
Areté Sleep NW, LLC.

1 new equity investors. At that time, the Board established December 31, 2009 as the projected deadline
2 for any prospective sale.

3 13. In furtherance of these goals, in September 2009, Holdings retained the services of two
4 consultants to explore the partnering, sale and investment opportunities for the Debtors: (i) Paul
5 Wallace of Step Function Partners ("Wallace") was tasked with exploring the prospect of locating a
6 financial partner for the Debtors, and (ii) Lawrence Bain of ITH Partners, LLC ("Bain") was tasked
7 with locating strategic partners for the Debtors that would acquire all, or substantially all, of the
8 Debtors' assets.

9 14. By December 2009, both Wallace and Bain had invested ample time and resources into
10 exploring both sale and restructuring opportunities for the Debtors, but both had been unsuccessful in
11 obtaining a single additional investment dollar or concrete sale offer.

12 15. In late December 2009, Bain advised the Debtors he no longer believed that the
13 partnering or sale of the Debtors' business operations was a viable prospect and terminated his
14 relationship with the Debtors. Similarly, by January 2010, Wallace had ceased providing his services
15 to the Debtors.

16 16. In February 2010, the Debtors received an unsolicited communication from Clinical
17 Research Advantage ("CRA"), the parent company of Sleep Science, Inc. ("Sleep Science"), pursuant
18 to which CRA expressed its interest in purchasing substantially all of the Debtors' assets.

19 17. In March 2010, the Debtors and CRA executed a non-disclosure agreement to facilitate
20 the exchange of information in furtherance of a potential sale, and, in May 2010, the Debtors received
21 a received a Letter of Intent from CRA, pursuant to which CRA offered to purchase substantially all of
22 Arete's assets (the "First Letter of Intent").

23 18. In July 2010, CRA amended the First Letter of Intent in order to limit its purchase offer
24 to the Debtors' assets within the State of Arizona, and to exclude all assets located within Oregon,
25 Texas and Washington (the "Non-Arizona Assets").

26 19. In August 2010, the Debtors attempted to locate a potential purchaser for the Non-
27 Arizona Assets, and contacted a number of companies that operate within the sleep disorder diagnosis
28 industry to solicit interest, including the following: (i) SleepMed, Inc., the nation's largest provider of

1 diagnostic services for sleep disorders and epilepsy; (ii) Sleep Health Centers, an operator of sleep
2 testing laboratories in Arizona, Connecticut, Massachusetts and Rhode Island; (iii) Graymark
3 Healthcare, Inc., a publically-traded corporation that operates sleep diagnostic centers in Florida, Iowa,
4 Kansas, Missouri, Minnesota, Nebraska, New York, Oklahoma, South Dakota and Texas; (iv) Total
5 Sleep Diagnostics, a sleep diagnostic organization with testing facilities in Arizona, Georgia, Indiana,
6 Kansas, Louisiana, Massachusetts, Missouri, and Texas; (v) SleepWorks, Inc., an operator of forty-five
7 sleep center locations throughout eleven states located primarily in the eastern half of the United
8 States; and (vi) Dormir, Inc., a sleep disorder health care provider that operates, together with certain
9 of its affiliates, diagnostic and testing facilities across the nation.

10 20. Although some limited interest in the Non-Arizona Assets was expressed by entities
11 other than CRA and Sleep Science, none of those prospects resulted in an asset purchase agreement,
12 and all letters of intent related to the Non-Arizona Assets have expired and/or been terminated.
13 However, in December 2010, CRA and Sleep Science increased the purchase price under discussion to
14 also include consideration for the Non-Arizona Assets.

15 21. As a result, following nearly eighteen months of concerted efforts at marketing the
16 Debtors for sale and/or soliciting interest from additional equity investors, the Debtors believe that
17 Sleep Science – the party designated by CRA to acquire the assets of the Debtors – is the sole entity
18 that has expressed a sincere interest in entering into an asset purchase agreement to purchase
19 substantially all the Debtors' assets.

20 22. This may be attributable, at least in part, to the current market conditions facing sleep
21 diagnostic centers. With the recent economic downturn, many employers have been forced to modify
22 the medical benefits they provide to their employees, and those medical benefit plans have been scaled
23 down and/or adapted to require a larger contribution from employees for the services provided. At the
24 same time, rising unemployment rates have both increased the number of uninsured patients and
25 caused both insured and uninsured patients to limit their health care services to strict “necessities” that
26 may not include diagnosis or treatment of sleep disorders.

27 23. In addition, federal and state governments, as well as private insurance companies, have
28 reduced the reimbursement rates for certain medical services, including those attributable to the

1 diagnosis and treatment of sleep disorders, and Debtors and other similarly situated companies have
2 been forced to accept less compensation for the services they render.

3 24. Despite this reduction in reimbursement rates, the increased awareness of sleep
4 disorders and their impact on general health has caused an increase in the desire of patients to diagnose
5 and treat their sleep disorders. As such, the number of providers offering sleep disorder testing and
6 treatment has increased in recent years, as have alternative diagnosis options that permit patients to
7 submit to testing at home or in another non-clinic based setting. At the same time, the sleep disorder
8 diagnostic industry has become more regulated, thereby increasing the cost of doing business.

9 25. The net result of such changes is that the average profits per clinic location has
10 decreased in recent years, thereby making it more difficult to operate a successful sleep disorder
11 diagnostic center that is cash positive.

12 26. In addition to these general market conditions, in January 2010, the Debtors received
13 communications from CMS regarding a review of the Debtors' Medicare and Medicaid reimbursement
14 accounts.

15 27. The review of the Debtors' books and records by CMS continued for nine months after
16 the initial inquiry. This review caused significant disruption to the Debtors' normal business
17 operations and resources were directed away from ordinary business operations to assist with the
18 administration of, and compliance with, the review. During the nine-month period, the Debtors
19 incurred an estimated \$500,000 in expense directly attributable to the CMS review. Furthermore,
20 modifications to the Debtors business practices prompted by the findings of the CMS review have
21 created an additional \$500,000 in annual operating expenses.

22 28. The general market conditions of the sleep disorder diagnostic and treatment industry,
23 together with the particular challenges faced by the Debtors, have caused the Debtors to operate their
24 business with a negative cash flow throughout 2010, thereby prompting significant liquidity problems
25 and necessitating the extensive marketing efforts related to the sale of substantially all the Debtors'
26 assets and culminating in the filing of these Chapter 11 Cases.

27 29. Anticipating an unsustainable negative cash flow, these Chapter 11 Cases were
28 commenced, *inter alia*, because the only available source of funds to sustain operations and maximize

1 the value of the Debtors' assets was debtor-in-possession financing while the Debtors' finalize the sale
2 process. The proposed debtor-in-possession financing should provide the Debtors with sufficient
3 funds to operate their business while seeking to sell their assets on a going concern basis.

4 **II. FIRST DAY MOTIONS**

5
6 30. In furtherance of these objectives, the Debtors expect to file a number of First Day
7 Motions and proposed orders and respectfully request that the Court consider entering the proposed
8 orders granting such First Day Motions. I have reviewed each of the First Day Motions and related
9 Orders (including the exhibits thereto) and the facts set forth therein are true and correct to the best of
10 my knowledge, information and belief. Moreover, I believe the relief sought in each of the First Day
11 Motions and Orders: (a) is vital to enable the Debtors to make the transition to, and operate in, Chapter
12 11 with a minimum interruption or disruption to their business operations or loss of productivity or
13 value, and (b) constitutes a critical element in achieving the Debtors' successful reorganization.

14 **A. Joint Administration of the Chapter 11 Cases**

15 31. Holdings is the direct or indirect holder of not less than a 99 % ownership interest in
16 each of the Debtors. I anticipate that the notices, applications, motions and other pleadings, hearings
17 and orders in these cases will affect each of the Debtors. Thus, I believe that the joint administration
18 of these cases will avoid the unnecessary time and expense of duplicative motions, applications, orders
19 and other pleadings, thereby saving considerable time and expense for the Debtors and resulting in
20 substantial savings for their estates. I also believe that such duplication of substantially identical
21 documents would be extremely wasteful and would unnecessarily overburden the Clerk of the Court
22 with voluminous filings. Finally, I believe that the use of a simplified caption for the jointly
23 administered cases will enable parties-in-interest in each of the above-captioned cases to be apprised of
24 the various matters before the Court.

25 **B. Business Operations of the Debtors**

26 **1. Bank Accounts and Cash Management Systems**

27 32. Prior to the filing of the Chapter 11 Cases, in the ordinary course of business, the
28 Debtors maintained five bank accounts in the Debtors' comprehensive cash management system, with

1 one account being maintained for each of the Debtors. The Debtors used this cash management system
2 to collect, transfer and disburse funds generated by their operations, and to accurately record all such
3 transactions as they were made.

4 33. With the exception of the account maintained by Holdings, all of the accounts are set up
5 as “zero balance accounts” meaning that, at the end of each day, the sum of all deposits made into
6 those accounts on that day are automatically swept in the Holdings account. Holdings, in turn, is the
7 sole Debtor that lists a cash balance on its financial statements, and Holdings covers all cash
8 disbursements on behalf of all the Debtors.

9 34. As indicated herein, the vast majority of the Debtors’ revenues relate to reimbursements
10 for medical and diagnostic services from federal and state governmental agencies and private insurance
11 companies. As such, approximately 70% of the Debtors’ incoming receivables are transmitted by wire
12 to the Debtors’ bank accounts using pre-established routing numbers and billing systems. Because any
13 systematic wire transfer system must be tested before the electronic processing of a wire transfer can
14 be consistently relied upon, these wire transfer mechanisms can sometimes take as many as two weeks
15 to modify or adjust.

16 35. In addition, the Debtors’ existing bank accounts are compatible with direct credit card
17 and over-the-phone payment processing capabilities subject to routing instructions and information
18 that has been widely disseminated to the Debtors’ patients and reimbursement providers. In this way,
19 requiring the Debtors to make a wholesale change to their existing bank accounts and cash
20 management system could disrupt the receipt and processing of payments from dozens of payors and
21 could be extremely difficult to remedy. Furthermore, even if current reimbursements and receivables
22 were not at risk, it could take as many as two weeks before the Debtors would be able to transition into
23 an cash management system utilizing newly created bank accounts, thereby jeopardizing the Debtors’
24 post-petition access to funds and revenue and creating a significant challenge to the Debtors’ post-
25 petition business operations.

26 36. The existing cash management system was developed to provide control over the
27 Debtors’ complex medical billing and reimbursement system. As such, the cash management and bank
28 account systems already in place allow for: (a) overall corporate control of funds; (b) cash availability

1 when and where needed by the Debtors; (c) the reduction of administrative costs through a
2 comprehensive method of coordinating funds collected; and (d) the accurate receipt and tracking of
3 reimbursements from federal and state governmental agencies and private insurance providers. In this
4 way, I believe that the Debtors' smooth transition into chapter 11 will be greatly enhanced by their
5 ability to maintain these bank accounts and operate their cash management system without
6 interruption.

7 37. The Debtors have used this cash management system since their inception. It includes
8 the necessary accounting controls to enable the Debtors to trace funds through the system and ensure
9 that all transactions are adequately documented and readily ascertainable. The Debtors will continue
10 to maintain detailed records reflecting all receipts and transfers of funds.

11 38. I believe that the operation of the Debtors' businesses require continuation of the
12 existing bank accounts and cash management system during the Chapter 11 Cases. Adopting a new
13 cash management system and opening new bank accounts would be expensive, would create
14 unnecessary administrative burdens, and would be disruptive to the Debtors' business operations. In
15 addition, adopting a new cash management system and opening new bank accounts could create
16 confusion with the Debtors' reimbursement providers, thereby jeopardizing the receipt and accurate
17 processing of revenues. Consequently, the maintenance of the existing cash system and bank accounts
18 is in the best interests of all creditors and other interested parties.

19 **2. Ongoing Use of Business Forms**

20 39. I also believe that, in order to minimize the expenses to the estates, it is in the best
21 interests of the Debtors and their creditors to continue to use all correspondence, business forms
22 (including, but not limited to, letterheads, patient forms, and invoices) and checks existing immediately
23 before the Petition Date without reference to the Debtors' status as debtors-in-possession.

24 40. Parties doing business with the Debtors undoubtedly will be aware of their status as
25 debtors-in-possession as a result of the notice of these cases provided to virtually all of the Debtors'
26 creditors. A requirement that the Debtors change their business forms would be expensive and
27 burdensome to the Debtors' estates and extremely disruptive to the Debtors' operations. Especially in
28

1 light of the Debtors' stated intention to sell substantially all their assets as a going concern in the short
2 term, requiring the Debtors to change their business forms would have limited to no positive impact,
3 and could serve as a deterrent for the creation of new and existing business relationships among
4 patients, referring physicians, health care providers, and the governmental and private entities that
5 provide reimbursements to the Debtors for services provided.

6 **3. Intercompany Transfers**

7 41. Prior to the Petition Date, the Debtors provided a number of services to, and engaged in
8 intercompany financial transactions with each other in the ordinary course of their respective
9 businesses. For example, as detailed more fully in paragraph 32, *supra*, Holdings has been almost
10 exclusively responsible for managing the accounts payable system for each of the Debtors and making
11 the payments due thereunder from the bank account maintained by Holdings. Similarly, Holdings
12 manages employee benefits and cash flow for each of the Debtors, and the costs of these services are
13 allocated across the Debtor entities.

14 42. The Debtors anticipate that the intercompany provision of services and centralization of
15 accounting procedures in the ordinary course will continue following the Petition Date. Accordingly,
16 the Debtors seek authority to continue any intercompany transactions in the ordinary course post-
17 petition.

18 43. I believe that these intercompany transactions reduce the Debtors' administrative costs.
19 By contrast, if the intercompany transactions were to be discontinued, a number of services and
20 records systems currently provided and maintained on an intercompany basis at a reasonable or
21 nominal costs, would be disrupted and the Debtors would be required to seek alternative, and more
22 costly, providers and/or solutions to replace those services and records systems. Accordingly, the
23 Debtors submit that the continuation of the intercompany transactions is in the best interests of the
24 Debtors' estates and creditors.

25 44. While the Debtors have not sought the substantive consolidation of the Chapter 11
26 Cases as part of their First Day Motions, they recognize that their ordinary business practices,
27 including, but not limited to: (i) the daily consolidation of all cash reserves into the bank account

owned and managed by Holdings; and (ii) Holdings' payment of virtually all accounts payable on behalf of the Debtors, support the substantive consolidation of the Debtors' estates. As such, the Debtors believe it is both reasonable and appropriate to seek the substantive consolidation of the Debtors' estates either by motion or, at a minimum, through the terms of the Debtors' plan of reorganization. Consequently, the Debtors' believe that the continuation of intercompany transactions will have no adverse impact on the Debtors' estates or their creditors and, for the reasons stated above, will actually benefit the estates and parties-in-interest by reducing the Debtors' administrative costs and expenses.

C. Utilities

45. In connection with the operation of their business and management of their properties, the Debtors obtain services (the "Utility Services") from various providers of Utility Services (each a "Utility Company" and, collectively, the "Utility Companies"). The Utility Companies known and identified by the Debtors are listed on Exhibit A to the utilities First Day Motion (the "Utilities Motion").

46. Prior to the Petition Date, the Debtors spent an average of \$4,251.44 per month on utility costs. Although the Debtors generally timely pay their utility bills, due to the timing of the filings in relationship to the billing cycles of the Utility Companies, some utility costs may have been invoiced to the Debtors for which payment is not yet due, and there may also be some outstanding invoices that have not been paid. In addition, the Debtors have incurred utility costs for services provided since the end of the last billing cycle that have not yet been invoices to the Debtors.

47. The services provided by the Utility Companies are critical to the continued operations of the Debtors. If the Utility Companies refuse or discontinue service, even for a brief period, the Debtors' could be forced to cease operations at one or more of their clinic locations and both revenues and patient confidence could be severely impaired.

48. Based on discussions with counsel, I understand that a Utility Company may not discontinue service to a debtor following the 20-day period after the Petition Date solely on the basis of the entry of an order for relief or failure of the Debtor to pay a pre-petition debt. It is also my

1 understanding that Utility Companies arguably may discontinue service to a debtor if the debtor does
2 not provide adequate assurance of its ability to satisfy its post-petition obligations.

3 49. I am also advised by counsel that Utility Companies have a right, under Section 366 of
4 the Bankruptcy Code, to request adequate assurance of payment. However, the Debtors intend to pay
5 all post-petition obligations owed to the Utility Companies in a timely manner. The Debtors expect
6 that availability under their proposed debtor-in-possession financing facility will be sufficient to pay
7 such post-petition utility obligations. Nevertheless, to provide additional assurance of payment for
8 future services to the Utility Companies, the Debtors will deposit \$4,251.44, an amount equal to the
9 approximate estimated cost of one month of their utility bills, into a newly created, segregated,
10 interest-bearing account, within twenty (20) business days after the Petition Date (the “Adequate
11 Assurance Deposit”). The Adequate Assurance Deposit shall be maintained with a minimum balance
12 equal to the Debtors’ estimated monthly cost of Utility Services, which may be adjusted by the Debtors
13 to account for the termination of Utility Services by the Debtors or other arrangements with respect to
14 adequate assurance of payment reached with a Utility Company.

15 50. To the extent that the Debtors become delinquent with respect to a Utility Company’s
16 account, such Utility Company shall file a notice of such delinquency (the “Delinquency Notice”) with
17 the Court and serve such notice on: (i) the Debtors; (ii) counsel to the Debtors; (iii) counsel to the
18 official committee of unsecured creditors, if one is appointed, and (iv) counsel to the United States
19 Trustee (collectively, the “Parties-In-Interest”). If the Debtors have not cured such delinquency or no
20 Party-In-Interest has objected to the Delinquency Notice within ten (10) days of the receipt of the
21 Delinquency Notice, then the Debtors shall remit to such Utility Company from the Adequate
22 Assurance Deposit the lesser of: (i) the amount allocated in the Adequate Assurance Deposit for such
23 Utility Company’s account, or (ii) the amount of the post-petition charged claimed as delinquent in the
24 Delinquency Notice.

25 51. I believe that the Adequate Assurance Deposit, coupled with the Debtors’ ability to pay
26 for future utility services in the ordinary course of its business operations through either their post-
27 petition revenues or the funds available to them through their debtor-in-possession financing facility,

1 provides protection will in excess of that required to grant sufficient adequate assurance to the Utility
2 Companies.

3 **D. Cash Collateral and Debtor-In-Possession Financing**

4 52. In order to fund their ongoing business operations, and to preserve their going concern
5 value, the Debtors have a critical need for the post-petition use of cash collateral and debtor-in-
6 possession financing. The Debtors do not have sufficient available sources of working capital and
7 financing to operate their business without the provision of debtor-in-possession financing and the use
8 of cash collateral. Indeed, the Debtors have an immediate need to use cash collateral to, among other
9 things, obtain additional inventory and medical diagnostic supplies from their vendors, make payroll
10 disbursements, and fund other routine operating costs. In addition, I believe that access to the cash
11 collateral and debtor-in-possession financing will provide the Debtors' creditors and vendors with the
12 requisite security that the Debtors will be able to continue conducting their business in the ordinary
13 course without interruption. I believe that in the absence of immediate authorization for the use of
14 cash collateral and entry into a debtor-in-possession financing facility, the Debtors could not continue
15 to operate their businesses, and immediate and irreparable harm to the Debtors and their estates would
16 occur.

17 53. True North has agreed to provide debtor-in-possession financing to the Debtors in
18 accordance with the terms of that certain Secured Promissory Note (the "Note") and the Security
19 Agreement (the "Security Agreement" and, together with the Note, the "DIP Facility"), copies of
20 which are attached to the Debtors' motion for authority to enter into the DIP Facility (the "DIP
21 Motion") as Exhibits A and B, respectively. The DIP Facility does not require, nor does the DIP
22 Motion seek, to prime any existing security interest.

23 54. While the DIP Facility constitutes the sole proposal solicited by the Debtors for the
24 provision of post-petition financing, the Debtors believe that the terms and conditions enumerated in
25 the DIP Facility are both reasonable and fair under the circumstances. The DIP Facility provides for a
26 maximum of \$625,000 in advances at the non-default fixed interest rate of 8.6%, plus a funding fee
27
28

1 equal to 1.0% of all disbursements made thereunder (the “Funding Fee”), which Funding Fee will be
2 deemed additional principal under the Note and payable in accordance with the terms thereof.

3 55. The Debtors are not required to make monthly or interim payments under the DIP
4 Facility until its maturity (although the Debtors are entitled to make such payments in their sole
5 discretion), and the administrative burden to the Debtors’ estates related to the DIP Facility have been
6 minimized by the softening of many of the reporting requirements and warranties typically granted by
7 a borrower in similar circumstances. Consequently, it is my opinion that the Debtors’ most favorable –
8 if not sole – option for debtor-in-possession financing is the DIP Facility. Indeed, the Debtors believe
9 that, given current market circumstances, they will be unable to obtain unsecured post-petition
10 financing allowable as an administrative expense under Section 503(b)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code,
11 unsecured credit allowable under Sections 364(a) and 364(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, or secured credit
12 pursuant to section 364(c) of the Bankruptcy Code on terms and conditions more favorable to the
13 Debtors’ estates than those offered in the DIP Facility. I do not believe that any lender would have
14 been willing to loan new money to the Debtors on terms more favorable than those contained in the
15 DIP Facility.

16 56. After reviewing the proposal submitted by True North, the Debtors determined, in the
17 exercise of their business judgment, that the financing offered by True North was in the best interests
18 of these estates. I believe that the terms of the DIP Facility are the best available to the Debtors in the
19 current credit market, and that the Debtors’ agreement to those terms reflects the Debtors’ exercise of
20 prudent business judgment consistent with their fiduciary duties.

21 57. In addition, the Debtors have sought permission to use certain cash collateral of TCF
22 Equipment Finance, Inc. d/b/a VGM Financial Services (“VGM”) in which VGM has been granted a
23 security interest pursuant to the terms of that certain Master Lease Agreement dated on or about
24 December 10, 2008 and all schedules related thereto (the “VGM Loan”). As of the Petition Date, the
25 value of the claim due and owing to VGM under the terms of the VGM Loan was approximately
26 \$667,000. In order to secure these obligations, Arete Sleep Therapy, LLC granted VGM a security
27 interest in, among other things, all of Arete Sleep Therapy, LLC’s accounts, chattel paper, documents,
28 general intangibles, instruments and inventory, and all proceeds of the forgoing (collectively, the

1 “VGM Collateral”). Consequently, the existing cash reserves of Arete Sleep Therapy, LLC may
2 constitute cash collateral of VGM pursuant to the terms of the VGM Loan.

3 58. As and for adequate protection of VGM’s interests in the VGM Collateral, the Debtors
4 propose to: provide VGM with post-petition replacement liens over the assets of Arete Sleep Therapy,
5 LLC, to the same extent, and with the same validity and priority, as VGM's liens on the VGM
6 Collateral (the "VGM Adequate Protection").

7 59. I believe that the proposed VGM Adequate Protection will be sufficient to adequately
8 protect VGM’s interests in the VGM Collateral resulting from: (i) the imposition of the automatic stay;
9 and/or (ii) the use of the VGM Collateral, including any cash collateral. The adequate protection terms
10 set forth in the proposed order submitted with the motion for approval of the Debtors’ use of cash
11 collateral (the “Cash Collateral Motion”) have been proposed in good faith by the Debtors, and I
12 believe that they are fair and reasonable under the circumstances, reflect the Debtors’ exercise of
13 prudent business judgment consistent with their fiduciary duties, and are supported by reasonably
14 equivalent value and fair consideration.

15 60. The Debtors require immediate use of the cash collateral and the DIP Facility to pay
16 present operating expenses, including payroll, and to pay vendors and other professionals to ensure a
17 continued supply of goods essential to the Debtors’ continued viability. Thus, the use of cash
18 collateral and the authority to enter into the DIP Facility are necessary to avoid immediate and
19 irreparable damage to the Debtors’ estates and I submit the interim relief pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule
20 4001 is appropriate.

21 **III. CONCLUSION**

22 61. To minimize any loss of value of their businesses during these Chapter 11 Cases, the
23 Debtors’ immediate objective is to maintain a business-as-usual atmosphere with as little interruption
24 or disruption to the Debtors’ operations as possible. I believe that if the Court grants the relief
25 requested in each of the First Day Motions, the prospect of achieving those objectives will be
26 substantially enhanced.

27 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

1 Executed this 26th day of January, 2011.

2
3 /s/ Daniel Dempsey

4 Daniel Dempsey

5 Chief Restructuring Officer for Areté Holdings,
6 LLC, Areté NW, LLC, Areté Sleep, LLC, Areté
7 Sleep Therapy, LLC, and Areté Sleep Therapy NW,
8 LLC (collectively, the “Debtors”), Debtors and
9 Debtors-In-Possession in the above-captioned matter,
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28